



# Camden Local Planning Panel

**Closed Meeting Minutes**  
**16 March 2021**

---

**12PM**  
**Meeting held via teleconference**



# CLOSED CAMDEN LOCAL PLANNING PANEL MEETING

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Present .....	3
ALSO IN ATTENDANCE .....	3
DECLARATION OF INTEREST .....	3
ADDRESS .....	3
CCLPP01 PLANNING PROPOSAL - 220, 300, 350 AND 360 CHITTICK LANE, COBBITTY .....	3

## **MEETING COMMENCED AT 12.05 PM**

### **PRESENT**

Stuart McDonald (Chairperson), Grant Christmas (Expert Panel Member), Rachel Harrison (Expert Panel Member), Debby Dewbery (Community Representative – South Ward).

### **ALSO IN ATTENDANCE**

Manager Strategic Planning, Manager Statutory Planning, Principal Planner, Student Strategic Planner, Team Leader DA Assessment, Executive Planners, Strategic Planner, Senior Town Planner, Governance Officer, Governance Administration Officer.

### **DECLARATION OF INTEREST**

There were no declarations to be noted.

### **ADDRESS**

Mr Ian Reynolds noted that he is the Chair of the Campbelltown Local Planning Panel and that Stuart McDonald is the alternate chair.

Mr Ian Reynolds addressed the Panel in relation to CCLPP01 – Planning Proposal – 220, 300, 350, and 360 Chittick Lane, Cobbitty.

Mr Edward O’Grady addressed the Panel in relation to CCLPP01 – Planning Proposal – 220, 300, 350, and 360 Chittick Lane, Cobbitty.

## **CCLPP01 PLANNING PROPOSAL - 220, 300, 350 AND 360 CHITTICK LANE, COBBITTY**

### **PANEL RECOMMENDATION**

The Camden Local Planning Panel has considered the draft Planning Proposal and supports the Council officer’s report.

It is considered that the Proposal does not demonstrate strategic planning merit or site-specific merit to proceed to Gateway Determination.

The Panel considers it would be unwise at this stage to allow further fragmentation of rural lands given that:

- i. the land the subject of the Planning Proposal lies outside the boundaries of the defined urban growth area and this growth area is capable of accommodating the housing required within the Camden local government area for the foreseeable future;
- ii. there is uncertainty regarding the future design and functionality of the proposed Outer Sydney Orbital, as it relates to the land; and
- iii. there is uncertainty regarding the provision of infrastructure and services to the land in order to accommodate urban development.

In addition, the Panel considers that the Planning Proposal has not demonstrated that the land is capable of achieving adequate connectivity to the established and planned urban area.

VOTING NUMBERS

The Panel voted 4-0 in favour of the recommendation.

**MEETING CLOSED AT 1.08PM**